# Translation

## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY



# **PCT**

## INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXAMINATION REPORT

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's an agent's 61,	
Applicant's or agent's file reference G 3184 PCT	FOR FURTHER ACTION See Notification of Transmittal of International Preliminary Examination Report (Form PCT/IPEA/416)
International application No.	International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year)
PCT/EP2003/014678	19 December 2003 (19.12.2003) 20 December 2002 (20.12.2002)
International Patent Classification (IPC) or n G01N 33/68, 33/573	
Applicant GSF-FORSCHUNGSZ	ZENTRUM FÜR UMWELT UND GESUNDHEIT GMBH
This international preliminary exami and is transmitted to the applicant ac	ination report has been prepared by this International Preliminary Examining Authority coording to Article 36.
2. This REPORT consists of a total of	8 sheets, including this cover sheet.
anchided and are the basis los	ed by ANNEXES, i.e., sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been this report and/or sheets containing rectifications made before this Authority (see Rule Administrative Instructions under the PCT).
These annexes consist of a tot	tal of sheets.
3. This report contains indications relat	ting to the following items:
I Basis of the report	·
II Priority	
III Non-establishment o	f opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
IV Lack of unity of inve	<b>1</b>
V Reasoned statement citations and explana	under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; ations supporting such statement
VI Certain documents c	ited
VII Certain defects in the	e international application
VIII Certain observations	on the international application
Date of submission of the demand	Date of completion of this report
28 April 2004 (28.04.2	004) 15 December 2004 (15.12.2004)
Name and mailing address of the IPEA/EP	Authorized officer
Facsimile No.	Telephone No.

Form PCT/IPEA/409 (cover sheet) (July 1998)

International application No.

#### PCT/EP2003/014678

	of the re	
1. With		o the elements of the international application:*
	the inte	ernational application as originally filed
$\bowtie$	the des	scription:
	pages	, filed with the demand
	pages	, filed with the letter of
$\boxtimes$	the clair	ims:
	pages	, as originally filed
	pages	, as amended (together with any statement under Article 19
	pages	, filed with the demand
	pages	
	the drav	
	pages	, as originally filed
l	pages	, filed with the demand
l	pages	, filed with the letter of
	the seque	ence listing part of the description:
ĺ	pages	, as originally filed
	pages	filed with the demand
	pages .	, filed with the letter of
	se element	to the language, all the elements marked above were available or furnished to this Authority in the language in which and application was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.  Its were available or furnished to this Authority in the following language which is:  Inguage of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rule 23.1(b)).
		guage of publication of the international application (under Rule 48.3(b)).
	the langor 55.3	aguage of the translation furnished for the purposes of international preliminary examination (under Rule 55.2 and/
3. With prelim	uninary C	to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application, the international examination was carried out on the basis of the sequence listing:
		ned in the international application in written form.
		ogether with the international application in computer readable form.
		ned subsequently to this Authority in written form.
		ted subsequently to this Authority in computer readable form.
	michia	tatement that the subsequently furnished written sequence listing does not go beyond the disclosure in the attement that the information recorded in comment that the information recorded in the inf
	been fu	atement that the information recorded in computer readable form is identical to the written sequence listing has urnished.
4.	The am	nendments have resulted in the cancellation of:
i		the description, pages
İ	<u> </u>   '	the claims, Nos.
İ		the drawings, sheets/fig
5.	This rep	port has been established as if (some of) the amendments had not been made, since they have been considered to go the disclosure as filed, as indicated in the Supplemental Box (Rule 70.2(c)).**
and 7	70.17).	sheets which have been furnished to the receiving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to t as "originally filed" and are not annexed to this report since they do not contain amendments (Rule 70.16
** Any r	eplaceme	ent sheet containing such amendments must be referred to under item 1 and annexed to this report.

International application No.

PCT/EP2003/014678

	establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability
1. The q indust	questions whether the claimed invention appears to be novel, to involve an inventive step (to be non obvious), or to be trially applicable have not been examined in respect of:
	the entire international application.
	claims Nos. 1-15 (partially)
becaus	
	the said international application, or the said claims Nos
	the description, claims or drawings (indicate particular elements below) or said claims Nosare so unclear that no meaningful opinion could be formed (specify):
	the claims, or said claims Nos are so inadequately supported by the description that no meaningful opinion could be formed.
	no international search report has been established for said claims Nos
2. A mean sequen	ningful international preliminary examination cannot be carried out due to the failure of the nucleotide and/or amino acid nee listing to comply with the standard provided for in Annex C of the Administrative Instructions:  the written form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.  the computer readable form has not been furnished or does not comply with the standard.
<u></u>	

International application No.
PCT/EP 03/14678

Claims	Claims 1-15 YES Claims 1-15 YES Claims 1-15 YES Claims 1-15 YES Claims NO  tions and explanations  The the Supplemental Box.	Statement			··
Inventive step (IS)  Claims  Claims  Industrial applicability (IA)  Claims  Claims  Claims  Claims  No  Citations and explanations  See the Supplemental Box.	Claims 1-15 YES Claims 1-15 YES Claims 1-15 YES Claims 1-15 YES Claims NO  tions and explanations  e the Supplemental Box.	Novelty (N)	Claims	1-15	YES
Industrial applicability (IA)  Claims  Claims  Claims  No  Citations and explanations  See the Supplemental Box.	claims  Claims  Claims  1-15  YES  Claims  NO  tions and explanations  e the Supplemental Box.		Claims		NO
Industrial applicability (IA)  Claims  Claims  No  Citations and explanations  See the Supplemental Box.	Claims 1-15 YES Claims NO  tions and explanations  e the Supplemental Box.	Inventive step (IS)	Claims	1-15	YES
Citations and explanations  See the Supplemental Box.	Claims NO tions and explanations e the Supplemental Box.		Claims		NO
Citations and explanations  See the Supplemental Box.	tions and explanations  e the Supplemental Box.	Industrial applicability (IA)	Claims	1-15	YES
See the Supplemental Box.	e the Supplemental Box.		Claims		<del></del>
		Citations and explanations			
		See the Supplement	al Box.		

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: III.1, V.2

The applicant's arguments were taken into account in the establishment of the international preliminary examination report.

#### Box III

Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability

1. In consequence of the very broad claim 13, the amended claims 1-15 relate to an inordinately large number of possible methods, of which only a small proportion are supported by the description (PCT Article 6) and/or can be regarded as having been disclosed in the application (PCT Article 5). In the present case the claims lack the proper support and the application lacks the requisite disclosure to such an extent that it does not appear possible to carry out a meaningful search covering the entire range of protection sought. The search was therefore directed to the parts of the claims that appear to be supported and disclosed in the above sense, namely the parts relating to the examples in which the methods are carried out with antibodies.

It has not been possible to carry out a search covering the entire scope of protection sought, for the following reasons. Firstly, terms which, as also explicitly stated in the application, are not used consistently in the prior art and are therefore not clear, are used. Secondly, these substances are defined functionally in terms of the specific binding to, for example, a fragment of the protein which, as explained below (see item 2.), is not clearly defined

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: III.1, V.2

either (description, page 5, lines 20 to 25: "preferably"). The only clearly defined term is the antibody against GBP-1.

In consequence of the use of the unclear term "or 2. fragments of said protein" in claims 1, 4, 7, 9, 10 and 11, the amended claims 1-15 relate to an inordinately large number of possible compounds, of which only a small proportion are supported by the description (PCT Article 6) and/or can be regarded as having been disclosed in the application (PCT Article 5). In the present case the claims lack the proper support and the application lacks the requisite disclosure to such an extent that it does not appear possible to carry out a meaningful search covering the entire range of protection sought. The search was therefore directed to the parts of the claims that appear to be supported and disclosed in the above sense, namely the parts relating to the methods which include the protein itself.

The expression "fragments of said protein" also includes embodiments which do not solve the technical problem (PCT Article 33(3)). It is quite conceivable that fragments neither possess the activity of GBP-1 nor are useful for the detection of an inflammatory disease. This is particularly the case since both functions are disclosed only as preferred embodiments in the description (page 5, lines 20 to 25: "preferably"). Consequently, the term "fragments" is considered to be too broad and the scope of protection is not clearly defined (PCT Articles 5 and 6). The term "guanylate binding protein-1", on the other hand, is sufficiently clear.

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: III.1, V.2

The substantive examination could be carried out only for the above-mentioned searched portion of the application.

#### Box V

Reasoned statement under PCT Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

Reference is made to the following documents:

- D1: American Journal Of Pathology (11-2002), 161(5), 1749-1759
- D2: US-A1-2002/115138
- D3: Embo Journal, Oxford University Press, Surrey, GB (15-10-2001), 20(20), 5568-5577
- D4: Journal Of Biological Chemistry. (microfilms),
  American Society Of Biological Chemists,
  Baltimore, MD, US (23-06-1983), 258(12),
  7746-7750
- D5: Gene (Amsterdam) (1994), 144(2), 295-299.
- 1. PCT Article 33(2) and (3)

The subject matter of independent claim 1 is considered to be novel (PCT Article 33(2)) (see, however, Box III) in relation to the prior art, because no document discloses a method in which guanylate binding protein-1 is detected in the culture supernatant of a tissue sample, a sample of body fluid or a sample of a cell culture supernatant.

D1 discloses Western blotting with a specific monoclonal antibody (1B1; page 1752, column 1, second

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: III.1, V.2

paragraph) for GBP-1 (page 1751, column 1, third paragraph). Since the antibody binds specifically, a specific binding is automatically detected. The binding is detected by means of the Western Blot.

D2 describes the detection and/or quantification of GBP-1, using ELISA for example (page 7, column 2, second paragraph).

D3 discloses the use of a monoclonal antibody against GBP-1 on cells. The binding is detected using the ABC Elite kit and the DAB substrate (page 5576, column 1, first paragraph). In addition, a Western Blot containing a monoclonal antibody is carried out (page 5575, column 2, sixth paragraph).

D4 describes the detection of, for example, GBP-1 (GBP-1 is the 67 kDa protein; see D5, page 295, column 2, second paragraph) using guanylate agaroses, for example the GMP agarose with high affinity for GBPs, in affinity chromatography columns. Protein extracts and a radioactively labelled control are passed through nucleotide affinity columns. The proteins bound in the columns are then eluted and separated and detected in polyacrylamide gels (page 7746, column 2, third paragraph to page 7747, column 1, second paragraph; page 7747, column 2, third paragraph; and additional material to this article (Supplemental Material)).

The same applies to **dependent claims 2-15** (see, however, Box III).

 The subject matter of independent claim 1 differs from the prior art D1 to D5 in that guanylate binding

International application No. PCT/EP 03/14678

Supplemental Box

(To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)

Continuation of: III.1, V.2

protein-1 is detected in the culture supernatant of a tissue sample, a sample of body fluid or a sample of a cell culture supernatant.

The technical problem can therefore be considered that of indicating a simplified test for the detection of GBP-1.

The problem is solved as indicated above.

Since no prior art document discloses that GBP-1 can be found in the culture supernatant of a tissue sample, a sample of body fluid or a sample of a cell culture supernatant, a combination of the documents cannot suggest the present solution either.

Consequently, the subject matter of independent claim 1 is considered to be inventive (PCT Article 33(3)).

The same applies to dependent claims 2-15 (see, however, Box III).